

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed June 22, 2010, has been carefully considered. The amendments and remarks presented herein are believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action. The amendments made herein are fully supported by the Application as originally filed. No new matter has been added. Accordingly, reconsideration of the present Application in view of the above amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-9 stand objected to because of the following informalities:

- (a) Claim 1 recites a dimeric compound. The Office suggests the deletion of the word "dimeric." Such deletion has been made.
- (b) Claims 6 and 7 do not end in a period. Claims 6 and 7 have been amended to end in a period.

With these amendments, it is respectfully contended that the claim objections have been overcome.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 7-10 stand rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

With respect to claim 7, the Office finds such claim "being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps."

After examination, Applicants are at a lost to understand what essential step claim 7 is omitting. Specifically, it is believed by Applicants that the claim contains

all the essential steps need to claim the invention. Further clarification by the Office as to what step(s) the claim is allegedly missing is respectfully requested.

With respect to claim 8, the Office alleges the term "base" renders the claim indefinite. Claim 8 has been amended to specifically recite the base that Applicants are claiming.


In claim 9, the Office finds the phrase "fine-dividing operation" and "solvent treatment" as relative terms which render the claim indefinite. Applicants have amended claim 9 to specifically detail what constitutes a fine-dividing operation and solvent treatment.

The Office finds the phrases "macromolecular organic material of natural or synthetic origin," in claim 10, relative. By this Amendment, claim 10 has been cancelled.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully contended that the 35 USC § 112, second paragraph rejections have been overcome.

In view of the foregoing remarks, the present Application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and reconsideration of it is requested. If the Office disagrees, the Examiner is requested to contact the attorney for Applicants at the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,


Anthony A. Bisulca
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 40,913

(CUSTOMER NUMBER 25,255)

Clariant Corporation
Industrial Property Department
4000 Monroe Road
Charlotte, North Carolina 28205
Phone: (704) 331-7151
Fax: (704) 331-7707